

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/748,504	12/26/2000	Koji Hayashi	10449-031001	10449-031001 3357	
26161	7590 12/21/2005		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON PC			CHU, KIM KWOK		
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2653		

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/748,504	HAYASHI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Kim-Kwok CHU	2653

·	Killi-KWOK OF IO	2000	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>21 November 2005</u>. A         of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)         appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply</li> </ol>	)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, if (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains.</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Nation of Nan Ca	maliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)
<ul><li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ul>		impliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).			_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-0</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

(a) with respect to claim 1, Applicant states that "Tsukihashi does not disclose clock generator which suspends providing a system clock to an encoder until the decoding catches up with the encoding, when the decoding of the decoder is delayed from the encoding of the encoder" (page 1 of the Remarks, lines 6-8). Accordingly, the operation of a decoder is always delayed/lagged from an encoder because data read (decoded) from the medium has to be encoded (written) first. In other words, the reading /decoding process always follow the writing/encoding process. Under this condition, the decoding operation must catches up with the encoding operation. In order to achieve the catch up process, the decoder and the encoder has to be synchronized in order to prevent the error of not enough time to read/decode too much recorded data (buffer underrun). For example, the encoder is stopped (no system clock or switch to other clock instead of system clock) so that it does not generate recording data in order that the decoder can catch up to read the recorded data.

In the cited prior art, Tsukihasi teaches that if there is a buffer underrun about to occur, data recording to a disk can be suspended/interrupted (column 2, lines 4-8; column 5, lines 17-31; switching of a system clock, column 7, lines 13-34). In other words, the encoding/recording of data is stopped as the encoder 11 is in a standby status and then resumed later.

(b) with respect to claim 7, Applicant states that the prior art of Tsukihaski does not disclose suspending the generation of second encoded data ..." (page 1 of the remark, lines 9-13). Accordingly, the prior art of Tsukihashi teaches a method for controlling interruption and restart (resume operation) of writing data to a recording medium (Fig. 1; abstract). Furthermore, the second encoded data is just an encoded data with additional sub-code information attached. Tsukihashi also teaches the decoding catches up with the encoding as explained in above item (a).

Examiner: Fin CHU (571)272-7585.

1419/05.